

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 310 (2007) 1293-1294

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmmm

HoTbTi₂O₇, the mixtures of spin ice and spin liquid

L.J. Chang^{a,b,*}, H. Terashita^b, W. Schweika^b, Y.Y. Chen^c, J.S. Gardner^{d,e}

^aNuclear Science and Technology Development Center, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan

^bIFF Scattering Methods, Research Center Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany

^cInstitute of Physics, Academia, Sinica, Nankang Taipei 115, Taiwan

^dDepartment of Physics, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, 11973-5000, USA

^eNIST Center for Neutron Research, Gaithersburg, MD, 20899-8562, USA

Available online 7 November 2006

Abstract

Polycrystalline samples of $H_{0_{2-x}}Tb_xTi_2O_7$ (x = 0.5, 1, and 1.5) have been prepared and characterized. No long-range order is observed for HoTbTi₂O₇ in magnetization and specific heat measurements down to 2 K. The low-energy magnetic excitation measurements suggests that HoTbTi₂O₇ possesses both characteristics of spin ice and spin liquid in the ground state. © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

PACS: 75.50.-y; 75.50.Ee; 75.50.Gg

Keywords: Frustrated magnetic system; Neutron scattering; Pyrochlore; Spin lice; Spin liquid; Specific heat; Magnetic susceptibility

Pyrochlore oxides with a general formula $A_2B_2O_7$ (A: rare earth, B: transition metal) have attracted much attention due to the geometrical frustrations and interesting low temperature properties. $Ho_2Ti_2O_7$ had been reported to have a spin-ice configuration with a net ferromagnetic interaction explained by an ising-type anisotropy model [1]. $Tb_2Ti_2O_7$ shows a fluctuating spinliquid state at low temperatures as explained by an antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model [2]. In this report, we describe the physical properties of the mixed compound, HoTbTi_2O_7, together with its structural data.

Polycrystalline samples $Ho_{2-x}Tb_xTi_2O_7$ (x = 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5) were synthesized using a standard solid-state reaction [3]. Room temperature X-ray powder diffraction data showed no secondary impurity phases within our instrumental resolution of 0.03° . Lattice parameters are a = 10.134, 10.117, and 10.103 Å for x = 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5, respectively with space group Fd3m. Magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ are shown in Fig. 1 for x = 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5. No magnetic transition is observed down to 2 K; however, small change is observed below 5 K for all samples. The Curie–Weiss law, $\chi = C/(T-\theta_{CW})$, was fitted to the data, where C is the Curie constant and θ_{CW} the Curie–Weiss temperature. The effective moments p_{eff} were determined for the temperature range from 200 to 400 K.

It is found that $\theta_{CW} = -14.2$, -11.7, and -10.3 K, and $p_{eff} = 9.93$, 10.08, and 10.29 μ_{B} , for x = 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5, respectively. p_{eff} increase as x increase. These observations suggest that antiferromagnetic state is preferred to ferromagnetic state in these compounds.

Specific heat measurements were carried out using a thermal relaxation method down to 0.5 K. No magnetic transition is observed. These results are consistent with those from neutron powder diffraction measurements [3]. Specific heat C_p for x = 1 is shown in Fig. 2.

Lattice specific heat C_1 is estimated from the nonmagnetic iso-structural compound $Y_2Ti_2O_7$. Magnetic specific heat C_m is estimated below 30 K by subtracting C_1 . No anomaly is observed. Although no nuclear contribution is considered here [4], a broad peak, which is possibly from spin ice state, can be seen around 1.9 K. The broad peak

^{*}Corresponding author. Nuclear Science and Technology Development Center, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan. Tel.: +8863 5742863; fax: +8863 5713849.

 $c_{1...} + 80033742803, 1ax. + 80033713849.$

E-mail address: ljchang@mx.nthu.edu.tw (L.J. Chang).

^{0304-8853/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jmmm.2006.10.445



Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of inverse magnetic susceptibility $1/\chi$ for $Ho_{2-x}Tb_xTi_2O_7$ with x = 0.5, 1.0, and 1.5.



Fig. 2. Specific heat C_p for HoTbTi₂O₇ as a function of temperature. Lattice specific heat C₁ is estimated from Y₂Ti₂O₇. Magnetic specific heat C_m is calculated from C_p by subtracting C₁.

around 6 K in the spin-liquid state for x = 0 [2] is shifted to 15 K. This observation is consistent with the results from $\chi(T)$, where the $\chi(T)$ deviates from the Curie–Weiss law below ~30 K. The peak at 1.5 K for x = 0 may merge into the peak at 1.9 K. Detailed analysis of magnetic specific heat and magnetic entropy will be discussed elsewhere [3]. From the discussion above, it can be concluded that HoTbTi₂O₇ shows the characteristics of both spin ice and spin liquid below 30 K.

In addition, the low-energy excitations of HoTbTi₂O₇ powder samples were investigated at the time-of-flight (TOF) spectrometer diffuse neutron scattering (DNS) at FRJ-2, Germany. The wavelength of 4.75 Å was chosen to achieve a good energy resolution. The inelastic neutron scattering data at 10 K are shown in Fig. 3. The first excited mode is observed at ~2 meV, which is reminiscent of the crystal-field level previously reported for Tb₂Ti₂O₇ [2]. Above 100 K, this mode disappears, however, a new mode



Fig. 3. Time-of-flight neutron scattering performed at DNS for HoTb-Ti₂O₇ at 10 K with a wavelength of 4.75 Å.

is observed at $\sim 1.3 \text{ mV}$ (not shown). This may resemble the intrinsic transitions of Ho₂Ti₂O₇ [5].

For summary, we prepared the polycrystalline pyrochlore samples of $Ho_{2-x}Tb_xTi_2O_7$ and studied magnetic and structural properties. No long-range order was observed in magnetic susceptibility, specific heat and the DNS measurements down to the lowest temperature. Specific heat and the DNS measurements, however, suggest a possible ground state of HoTbTi₂O₇, which shows both characteristics of spin-ice state (Ho₂Ti₂O₇) and spin-liquid state (Tb₂Ti₂O₇).

L.J.C. sincerely thanks J. Perßon for sample preparations and Y. Su for useful discussions.

References

- [1] S.T. Bramwell, M.J. Harris, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 10 (1998) L215.
- [2] M.J.P. Gingras, B.C. den Hertog, M. Faucher, J.S. Gardner, S.R. Dunsinger, L.J. Chang, B.D. Gaulin, N.P. Raju, J.E. Greedan, Phys. Rev. B 62 (2000) 6496.
- [3] L.J. Chang et al., in preparation.
- [4] S.T. Bramwell, M.J. Harris, B.C. den Hertog, M.J.P. Gingras, J.S. Gardner, D.F. McMorrow, A.R. Wildes, A.L. Cornelius, J.D.M. Champion, R.G. Melko, T. Fennell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 47205.
- [5] S. Rosenkranz, A.P. Ramirez, A. Hayashi, R.J. Cava, R. Siddharthan, B.S. Shastry, J. Appl. Phys. 87 (2000) 5914.